

Dr. Nestler - Math 13 - Some results concerning dimension

One of your suggested homework problems (4.4 #70) is to prove this claim: Suppose  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a linearly independent set of vectors in a vector space  $V$ . If the set  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, v\}$  is linearly dependent, then  $v$  is a linear combination of  $v_1, \dots, v_n$ .

Contrapositive of 4.4 #70: Suppose  $S = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  is a linearly independent set of vectors in a vector space  $V$ . If there exists a vector  $v \notin \text{span}(S)$ , then  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n, v\}$  is linearly independent.

On page 189 in section 4.5, you may read the proof of the following result.

Theorem 4.10: If  $V$  is an  $n$ -dimensional vector space, then any set of more than  $n$  vectors is linearly dependent.

We use the two results above to prove the following result.

Corollary (4.5 #83): If  $W$  is a subspace of a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ , then  $W$  is finite-dimensional and  $\dim W \leq \dim V$ .

Proof: This result is clear if  $V$  or  $W$  is  $\{0_V\}$ , so suppose otherwise. Let  $w_1$  be a nonzero vector in  $W$ . If  $W = \text{span}\{w_1\}$ , then  $\{w_1\}$  is a basis for  $W$ , and so  $W$  is finite-dimensional.

Otherwise, there exists a nonzero vector  $w_2 \in W$  such that  $w_2 \notin \text{span}\{w_1\}$ . Since  $\{w_1\}$  is a linearly independent set,  $\{w_1, w_2\}$  must also be linearly independent, by the contrapositive above.

If  $W = \text{span}\{w_1, w_2\}$ , then  $W$  has a basis of two vectors and so is finite-dimensional. If not, then there exists a nonzero vector  $w_3 \in W$  such that  $w_3 \notin \text{span}\{w_1, w_2\}$ , and we continue as before. After each step, we have obtained a linearly independent set  $\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  in  $W \subseteq V$ .

Now if  $\dim V = n \geq 1$ , then any set of more than  $n$  vectors of  $V$  must be linearly dependent, by the theorem above. Thus  $k \leq n$ . So  $W = \text{span}\{w_1, \dots, w_k\}$  for some  $k \leq n$ . Hence  $W$  is finite-dimensional and  $\dim W \leq \dim V$ , as desired.  $\square$

Using the contrapositive above, we may also prove the following result.

Theorem (4.5 #85): If  $S$  is a linearly independent set of vectors in a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ , then there exists a basis for  $V$  containing  $S$ .

Proof: Because  $S$  is linearly independent, we know that  $S$  contains at least one vector that is not the zero vector, and hence  $\dim V > 0$ . If  $S$  spans  $V$ , then  $S$  itself forms a basis for  $V$  and we are done. Assuming  $S$  does not span  $V$ , let  $v_1$  be a vector in  $V$  that is not in  $\text{span}(S)$ , and consider the set  $S_1 = S \cup \{v_1\}$ , which is linearly independent by the contrapositive above.

If  $S_1$  spans  $V$  then we are done; if not, then there exists a vector  $v_2 \notin S_1$ , and we continue as before. Because  $V$  is finite-dimensional, this process must stop after a finite number of steps, ultimately producing a basis for  $V$  that contains  $S$ , as desired.  $\square$

When we get to section 6.2, we will need the following result.

Claim: If  $W$  is an  $n$ -dimensional subspace of an  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$ , then  $W = V$ .

Proof: If  $n = 0$ , then this is clear, as both  $W$  and  $V$  are the trivial vector space  $\{0_V\}$ . Assuming  $n \geq 1$ ,  $W$  has a basis  $B$  of  $n$  vectors. These  $n$  vectors are linearly independent in  $V$ , which has dimension  $n$ , so they span  $V$ . So each  $v \in V$  is in  $\text{span}(B) = W$ , and thus  $V \subseteq W$ . Since clearly  $W \subseteq V$ , we have  $W = V$ , as desired.  $\square$